Historic, Archive Document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



1.9 St. 2Ct

Crop Production

Release: February 9, 1962 3:00 P.M.(E.S.T.)

UNITED STATES CROP SUMMARY AS OF FEBRUARY 1, 1962

CITRUS FRUITS 1/

				PR	ODUCTION	
Crop	:	Average	:	1959	: 1960	: Indicated
		1950-59	<u>:</u>		:	: 1961
	:	1,000		1,000	1,000	1,000
	:	boxes		boxes	boxes	boxes
Oranges	•••	124, 114		126, 760	116, 635	122, 455
Grapefruit	• • •	43, 137		41,620	43, 300	40,600
Lemons	• • :	15,064		18,230	14, 140	16,500

I/Season begins with the bloom of the year shown and ends with the completion of harvest the following year.

POTATOES, IRISH, 1962 CROP

			Acreag	е	:Yield pe	r harv.	acre:	Pr	oductio	n
Seasonal group	.A	Harve verage: 951-60		For harvest 1962	Average 1951-60	. 1061	: Indi-: :cated: : 1962:	Average 1951-60	1961	: Indi- :cated :1962
	:	•	1,000	1,000				1,000	1,000	1,000
	:	acres	acres		Cwt.		*****	cwt.		
Winter		27.7	23.5	21.9	156.8	211.4	193.1	4,327	4,967	4,229
	:A	creage	planted	l: Inten-	:Yield pe	r plant	edacre	Pr	oductio	n
	:			: tions	:					
Early Spring.	•:	26.5	25.5	24.1	139.5	182.4		3,691	4,650	Apr. 10
Late Spring.	•:	162.0	138.2	115.4	150.2	202.8		23,833	28,023	May 10
Early Summer	r:	115.2	99.5	92.9	109.9	155.7		12,423	15,496	June 11

MILK AND EGG PRODUCTION

رون داده اداره دهه ویژه بیگه داری داره دیگاه ویژه دیگاه ا		MILK			EGGS	
Month	Average 1951-60	1961	1962	Average 1951-60	1961	1962
	Million	Million	Million			
:	pounds	pounds	pounds	Millions	Millions	Millions
January	9, 213	9, 862	10, 118	5, 260	5, 180	5,275

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Statistical Reporting Service

Crop Reporting Board Washington, D. C.

CrPr 2-2 (2-62)

U. S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE
NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LIBRARY

NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LISKA

SEP 1 9 1962

GENERAL CROP REPORT AS OF FEBRUARY 1, 1962

January Weather Severely Cold

While temperatures ran the full gamut from bitter cold to balmy spring, January will be remembered longest for the widespread below normal readings. The month opened with above normal temperatures over most of the Nation except for the extreme South and the New England States, where a late December cold wave still lingered. Repeated waves of Arctic air swept across the country during the second and third weeks of the month bringing record low readings to the mid-continent area and freezing temperatures to practically all parts of the Nation. Damage to tender crops was severe in southern regions while snow cover minimized crop losses in northern areas. Precipitation varied, with most areas receiving near normal amounts of moisture. The largest area of above-normal rainfall occurred in the Southeast where heavy January rains followed above-normal December precipitation, keeping soils saturated, and many streams at flood stage. Central and Southern Texas and the Florida Peninsula missed most of the rains, and soils are becoming dry. Snow accompanied the cold air movement but there were no severe general storms or widespread blizzard conditions. Snow fall extended as far south as the Gulf of Mexico. Snow cover gave protection to crops in most northern areas during the severe low temperature periods but a warming trend at the end of the month brought rapid melting and removed much of the cover from winter grains.

Winter Vegetable Prospects Decline During January

Production of winter vegetables is expected to be 12 percent less than last year and 4 percent under average. The current forecast is 9 percent below a month ago due chiefly to losses in Texas. Significant declines from last year are indicated for cabbage, lettuce, tomatoes and celery. The only vegetables showing material increases over 1961 are carrots, sweet corn and spinach. Freezing temperatures on January 9 through 12 caused substantial losses in Texas for broccoli, cabbage, cauliflower, carrots and lettuce. Iow January temperatures caused little damage in Arizona but brought some reduction to the California lettuce crop. Freezing temperatures extended into Florida vegetable areas on January 3 and 4 and also on the 13th. In spite of the varied freeze damage, most vegetables made a good recovery during the last half of the month, and overall Florida vegetable prospects are improved from a month ago.

Winter Potato Outlook Improves Slightly

Improved yield prospects for late planted acreage in Florida added to the expected winter potato production. However, the current forecast of 4,229,000 hundredweight is 15 percent smaller than last year and 2 percent less than average. Harvest of a fair to good quality crop in the Everglades is nearing completion, while harvest is underway in other Florida areas. The California potatoharvest was slowed by January precipitation. Growers of early summer potatoes expect to plant 7 percent less acreage than last year and 19 percent below average.

5 1

1 1 1

Freezes Lower Citrus Output 6 Percent

Estimated production of citrus is down 6 percent from the forecast a month earlier with oranges off 4 percent and grapefruit 13 percent. Even so, production of oranges is expected to be 5 percent larger than in 1960-61, but that of grapefruit 6 percent smaller. Compared with last year, there are more lemons, limes, and tangelos, but fewer tangerines. These crops suffered no appreciable freeze damage. Freezing temperatures occurred in all citrus States during late December or January but California and Arizona had no significant losses. In Florida, Texas, and Louisiana, production was cut back. In Texas, trees suffered heavy damage, but it is still too early to have an accurate appraisal of tree loss.

Fall Sown Grains Retarded

Snow cover was generally adequate in most areas of the important Central Plains States during the period of extremely low temperatures. Uncovered wheat fields in South Dakota were frozen back, but acreage losses are expected to be light because of the good fall growth. Some wind erosion was reported in sandy areas of western Nebraska and north central Kansas. Wheat was also frozen back in southern Oklahoma and in Texas but most acreage is expected to revive. Winter oats and barley were more severely damaged and some acreage was lost in Oklahoma, Texas, Arkansas, and Louisiana. Winter grains survived the low temperatures of mid-January in the East North Central and North Atlantic areas but subsequent thawing and freezing has brought the threat of smothering losses from ice covering especially in low spots in fields. Growth of winter grains was retarded by low temperatures in the southeast but adequate to excessive moisture set the stage for rapid growth as soon as temperatures moderate. In the Pacific Northwest considerable acreage was seeded late and was in poor condition to meet the low temperatures. Some reseeding will be necessary particularly in southeastern Washington and eastern Oregon where snow cover was inadequate.

Livestock Dip Heavily into Feed Supplies

Above normal feeding of hay and grains was required in most areas of the country to maintain livestock in good condition. In the range areas, shrink or loss of weight was a little greater than usual, but no exceptional losses of older animals were reported. The weather was hard on newborn calves and lambs and death losses are expected to be somewhat higher than usual. Open weather and light snow cover in the Northern Plains area reduced the need for supplemental feeding and helped stretch the drought shortened roughage supplies. Heavy snow cover in the central Corn Belt States prevented the pasturing of corn and other crop residues. Local areas, especially in northern Missouri report short feed grain supplies because of inability to harvest corn due to wet fields and heavy snow. In the eastern Corn Belt, feed supplies are plentiful but rapid changes in temperature have added to losses of small pigs and increased the incidence of respiratory diseases in older hogs. Low temperatures across the southern areas of the country held back the growth of grain and other winter forage crops. Heavy supplemental feeding was required, but feed supplies were abundant.

Milk and Egg Production Above Year Earlier

Milk production during January was about 3 percent above January 1961, and 10 percent larger than the 1951-60 average for the month. January egg production was 2 percent greater than a year earlier, as increases in all other regions overshadowed a decline in the North Central States. The Nation's laying flock and rate of lay each averaged 1 percent above January 1961. Farmers reported plans to purchase 1 percent more replacement chicks than in 1961, with increases indicated in the South Atlantic, South Central and Western States nearly offset by decreases in the North Central and North Atlantic regions.

CITRUS: The forecast for the 1961-62 citrus crop is down 6 percent from a month ago as the result of late December and January freezes. Florida, Texas, and Louisiana suffered a cutback in production. Loss of grapefruit was considerably greater than that of oranges. California and Arizona had freezing temperatures, but there was no significant loss of fruit. Tree damage in Texas was heavy, but it does not appear to be a repetition of the 1951 disaster. It is still too early to have an accurate appraisal of the tree loss.

The orange crop is now estimated at 122 million boxes, 4.5 million below the January 1 estimate but still 5 percent larger than the 1960-61 crop. Harvest is running well ahead of last year with 44.9 million boxes picked by February 1, compared with 39.6 million a year earlier. A larger crop, a somewhat earlier season, and a concentrated effort to salvage freeze-damaged oranges contributed to this greater utilization. Up to February 1, processors have used 30.6 million boxes and 14.3 million have gone for fresh market, while a year ago at the same date processors had used 26.2 million boxes and 13.4 million had been used as fresh fruit.

Production of Early, Midseason, and Navel oranges is estimated at 64 million boxes, about 300,000 less than estimated a month age, but still 2 percent greater than last year's crop. The Florida Temple orange estimate is down 1 million boxes, but even after taking into account freeze losses, the estimate for other Early and Midseason oranges in Florida is 1 million boxes higher than a month ago. The U.S. Valencia crop is now estimated at 58.5 million boxes, down 4.2 million boxes from a month ago as the result of freezing temperatures. Harvest had not commenced at the time of the freeze; thus salvage of damaged fruit was very limited. The present estimate is 8 percent above last year's crop, although 2 percent below average.

Production of grapefruit is now forecast at 40.6 million boxes, 6 million boxes or 13 percent below the January 1 forecast, and 6 percent below last year's crop. Heaviest loss occurred in Texas, where practically all of the unharvested fruit was destroyed by the freeze. By February 1, about 16.6 million boxes of grapefruit had been harvested, principally in Florida. This compares with 14.9 million boxes a year earlier. Fresh market use has accounted for 10.9 million boxes, and processors took 5.7 million. A year ago neither fresh use nor processing was quite this high.

The lemon crop is forecast at 16.5 million boxes, 5 percent smaller than last month but 17 percent larger than last year and 10 percent above average. The decline from last month results primarily from failure to size and premature coloring, which necessitates earlier picking. By February 1, approximately 4.4 million boxes or slightly more than one-fourth of the crop had been picked. A year ago, 2.4 million boxes, or 17 percent of the crop had been picked.

Harvest of Florida citrus, including salvage of freeze-damaged fruit, has been heavy since the December 29-30 freeze, with weather generally favorable for these operations. In salvaging freeze damaged fruit, drops which normally would not have been picked up have been utilized. Recovery of these additional oranges was an important factor in offsetting the freeze loss of oranges. By February 1, slightly more than one-third of the Early and Midseason trees remained to be picked. Most Valencias are immature and drops cannot be salvaged. Valencias which were damaged but did not drop are expected to develop dryness. Freeze damage to Florida grapefruit was not as great as to oranges, but it did contribute to an already heavy drop of fruit.

The cold weather of late December and early January caused damage to leaves and small wood in many interior areas of Florida, especially on young trees. The west coast and the Indian River areas escaped any appreciable fruit or tree damage.

The freeze-damage survey made during the week ending January 20 shows the following condition of fruit remaining on the trees at that time.

Condition of Florida Citrus Remaining on trees January 20, 1962

Classified		Internal Cold Damage (Percent Damage allowable in U.S.	
Crop		: Grades 1 and 2 : (marketable as fresh fruit	
Early and	:		7
Mid-season oranges	•		•
Regular bloom	: 65	19	16
Late bloom	: 69	18	13
Valencia oranges	•		
Regular bloom	: 69	18	13
Late bloom	: 50	20	30
Temple oranges	:		
Regular bloom	: 58	22	20
Late bloom	: 60	16	24
Tangerines	:		_
Regular bloom	: 83	10	7
Iate bloom	: 76	11	13
All Grapefruit	:		
Regular bloom	: 87	10	3
Iate bloom	. 82	12	6
Pink Seedless Grapefrui		30	0
Regular bloom	79	12	9
Late bloom	: 75	20	5
Seedless and other	90	^	0
Regular bloom	: 89	9	2 6
Late bloom	: 83	111	0

In <u>Texas</u> freezing temperatures of January 9 through 12 virtually wiped out the 1961-62 citrus crop, with a loss of about 40 percent of the total orange crop and two-thirds of the grapefruit. At the time of the freeze nearly 80 percent of the Early and Midseason oranges had been picked, but harvest of Valencias had not started. Processors salvaged as much of the fruit as possible, particularly Valencia oranges, and a very limited quantity of fruit was salvaged for fresh market. By the end of January, salvage operations were practically complete.

All trees were completely defoliated, and twigs were killed back 18 to 24 inches. Bark splitting was heavy in the eastern half of the Rio Grande Valley. Iarge limbs and trunks show promise of recovery in most groves, but a more accurate appraisal of tree damage must await new growth development. At the time of the 1951 freeze, there was more active tree growth which made trees highly susceptible to damage. Prior to the January 1962 freeze, cool weather induced enough dormancy that trees apparently were more able to withstand freezing temperatures. At the end of January no new growth had appeared. Irrigation was being withheld so growth would not be stimulated and thus be susceptible to damage from subsequent low temperatures.

California experienced freezing temperatures between January 21 and 24. Heaters, wind machines, and water were used to prevent frost damage to the citrus. Freeze damage to oranges was minor. In southern California, strong winds of January 23 caused scarring and droppage of Navels. At the same time in central California, low temperatures caused some slush ice but no solid ice in Valencias; thus there was no serious tissue injury. Valencias are becoming fully colored. Harvest of Desert Valleys grapefruit continues, with utilization running ahead of a year ago. The crop suffered light freeze damage in December but none in January. Harvest of lemons was heavy during January and is expected to increase during February and March. Much of the fruit is coloring at small sizes, and will be picked before it has made the desired size growth. Cold weather during December and January caused the loss of button sized fruit which would have matured for summer harvest. Winds caused some dropping and scarring of lemons. Rains the last week of January helped the soil moisture situation in California citrus areas.

Arizona citrus escaped with only minor damage from the low temperatures of January 11 and 12. There was some dropping of fruit, but processors apparently will be able to utilize this. Navels and miscellaneous oranges as well as lemons were nearly all harvested by the end of January.

About three-fourths of the Louisiana orange crop had already been harvested, but the freeze of January 10-12 destroyed nearly all fruit remaining on trees. Damage to trees is believed to be heavy.

POTATOES: Production of winter crop potatoes is now forecast at 4,229,000 hundredweight, 15 percent less than the 1961 crop and 2 percent below average. An increase of 74,000 hundredweight over the January 1 estimate is predicted as the result of improved yield prospects in Florida. In Dade County, Florida, low temperatures of late December and early

January slowed growth of potatoes in that area, causing a better set of tubers of more uniform size. Later plantings are expected to have better yields and uniformity of tuber size than the early portion of the crop. Harvest in Dade County was expected to start the first week of February. In colder locations, around Fort Myers, some fields which were not quite mature in early January sustained some damage and may not size fully. Digging in this area is underway. Harvest of a fair to good quality crop in the Everglades is nearing completion. In California, harvest continues at a slow rate in the Perris-Hemet districts of Riverside County and in all producing areas of the southern San Joaquin Valley. Digging was interrupted several times during January by precipitation in many California producing areas.

Growers of early summer potatoes reported intentions to plant 92,900 acres in 1%2, 7 percent below the 1%1 acreage and 19 percent below average. Texas is the only State where reports indicate an increase in plantings over 1%1. Declines are indicated for all other States except Georgia.

Very little plowing was accomplished on the Eastern Shore of Virginia during January. With favorable weather, planting will become active in the southern part of the Shore by mid-February. Soil moisture conditions are favorable for early planting in Texas, and planting is expected to get under way about mid-March. In California, planting has started and will continue through March.

Estimates of acreage planted or intended plantings for each of the four early seasonal groups--winter, early spring, late spring, and early summer--are smaller than the acreage planted in 1561. The reduction is general in nearly all States. The total for the four groups, winter crop acreage for harvest plus intended plantings for the other three early groups, is down ll percent from last year.

POULTRY AND EGG PRODUCTION: The Nation's farm flocks laid 5,275 million eggs during January, compared with 5,180 million eggs a year earlier—an increase of 2 percent. Increases were 10 percent in the South Atlantic, 5 percent in the South Central, 4 percent in the West, and 1 percent in North Atlantic States. These increases were partially offset by decreases of 3 percent in the West North Central and 1 percent in the East North Central regions.

The ra of egg production per layer in January was 17.1, compared with the January 1961 rate of 17.0 and the January 1951-60 average of 15.6. Increases in rate from last year were 6 percent in the North Atlantic, 3 percent in the South Atlantic, and 2 percent in the East North Central regions. In the West North Central, South Central and the West a 1 percent decrease occurred.

The Nation's laying flock averaged 307,970,000 layers during January, compared with 305,010,000 layers during January 1961--an increase of 1 percent. Increases of 7 percent in the South Atlantic, 6 percent in the South Central, and 5 percent in the West more than offset decreases of 5 percent in the North Atlantic, 3 percent in the East North Central, and 1 percent in the West North Central States.

The number of layers on February 1, 1962, totaled 305,603,000--1 percent more than a year earlier. Layer numbers compared with last year were up 7 percent in the South Atlantic and 6 percent in the South Central and in the West. Numbers decreased 5 percent in the North Atlantic.

3 percent in the East North Central, and 1 percent in the West North Central regions. Layers on the Nations' farms January 1, 1962 totaled 310,345,000 compared with 308,252,000 (revised) a year earlier.

The February 1 rate of lay was 55.4 eggs per 100 layers compared with 55.9 eggs on February 1, 1961. Decreases in rate of lay were 7 percent in the South Central, 3 percent in the West, and 2 percent in the West North Central States. Adverse weather conditions over most of the country, particularly the South Central region, resulted in a reduction in the rate of lay. In the North Atlantic region, rate of lay was up 6 percent and in the South Atlantic, up 1 percent, while in the East North Central States there was no change.

HENS AND PULLETS OF LAYING AGE AND EGGS LAID PER 100 LAYERS ON FARMS, FEBRUARY 1

Voor	Atlantic	:Central:	Central:	Atlantic:	South : Central :	Western	
1951-60 (Av.) 1961 <u>1</u> / 1962	Thou:	Thou. 63,750 50,857 49,487	Thou. 91,972 74,330 73,648	Thou. 34,418 39,807 42,667	Thou. 51,043 47,467 50,470	Thou. 37,200	Thou: 334,686 301,775 305,603
		EGGS LA	ID PER 1	00 LAYERS	on farms,	FEBRUARY	1
1951-60 (Av.) 1961 1962	Number 54.2 54.2 57.5	Number 53.8 57.2 57.4	Number 54.0 59.1 57.9		Number 42.8 48.3 45.0	Number 55.1 60.3 58.6	Number 51.9 55.9 55.4
		HENS	AND PULL	ETS OF LA	YING AGE O	n farms	
Dec.1,1961 1/ Jan.1,1962 1/ Feb.1,1962		Thou. 50,412 50,529 49,487	Thou. 74,554 75,024 73,648	Thou. 43,222 43,324 42,667	Thou. 50,698 51,148 50,470	Thou. 43,072 43,026 43,423	Thou. 309,453 310,345 305,603

^{1/} Revised.

INTENDED PURCHASES OF BABY CHICKS: This year, farmers plan to buy 1 percent more replacement chicks than in 1961.

Increases of 9 percent in the South Atlantic, 8 percent in the West, and 6 percent in the South Central more than offset decreases of 6 percent in the West North Central, 5 percent in the East North Central, and 3 percent in the North Atlantic regions. Some differences between farmers' intentions as of February 1 and their actual purchases are to be expected, the difference depending on egg-feed price relationships and other developments during the remainder of hatching season.

On February 1, 1961 farmers intended to purchase 12 percent more replacement birds in 1961 than in 1960, but the number of chickens raised turned out to be up only 4 percent. Intentions on February 1, 1960 were for a decrease of 9 percent from a year earlier compared with an actual decrease for the year of 14 percent. On February 1, 1959 intentions were down 1 percent from 1958, and the number raised was down 8 percent.

Prices received by producers for eggs in mid-January averaged 35.4 cents per dozen--up 0.4 cent a dozen from a month earlier but down 3.2 cents from mid-January 1961. The trend in egg prices during the month was irregular during the first half--higher during the third week and sharply lower at the close. Markets were affected by disruption of trading in many areas of the mid-West and South due to snowstorms and extreme cold.

Producers received an average of 16.0 cents per pound live weight for commercial broilers in mid-January, compared with 15.1 cents a month earlier and 16.5 cents a year earlier. The undertone of the markets during the month was firm. Live supplies of broilers were short of needs and many plants were operating at a reduced schedule. Some slacking in demand was becoming evident at the close of the month.

Farmers received an average of 10.1 cents per pound in mid-January for farm chickens (mostly hens), compared with 9.7 cents a month earlier and 12.5 cents in mid-January 1961. Offerings of light type hens were adequate for the demand during the month. Supplies of heavy weight hens were barely adequate for the good demand, and prices tended higher than a month earlier.

Turkey prices in mid-January averaged 18.2 cents per pound live weight, compared with 18.6 cents a month earlier and 25.4 cents a year earlier. Processing of turkeys during the month was light. Trading in ready-to-cook birds was of a seasonal light nature. Prices on the future markets at Chicago tended higher and were influencing owners of storage turkeys to hold birds for still higher prices. Hatchings of turkey poults during January in the 10 producing States covered by weekly reports were much less than in January last year.

The average cost of farm poultry ration in mid-January was \$3.39 per 100 pounds--up 10 cents from a year earlier. The average cost of the broiler growing mash on January 15 was \$4.64 per 100 pounds, compared with \$4.55 a year earlier. Cost of turkey growing mash on January 15 was \$4.64, compared witj \$4.59 a year earlier. On January 15, the egg-feed, farm chicken-feed, turkey-feed, and broiler-feed price ratios were all less favorable to producers than a year earlier.

MILK PRODUCTION: Milk production during January was about 3 percent above January 1961, and 10 percent larger than the 1951-60 average for the month.

MONTHLY MILK PRODUCTION ON FARMS, SELECTED STATES, JANUARY 1962, With Comparisons

(In millions of pounds)

State		Jan.Av.: 1951-60:			Jan.: 1962	CT also on also on	:Jan.Av.: :1951-60:	Jan.: 1961:	Dec.: 1961:	Jan. 1962
37 32	:	720	0.53	01.5	001	•••	:	82	77	70
N.Y.	•	739	851	845		::Ga.	: 90		75	78
N.J.		96	97	95		::Ky.	: 157	164	174	164
Pa.	•	489	542	537		::Tenn.	: 152	142	157	146
Ohio	•	406	423	437		::Ala,	: 86	72	74	70
Ind.	:	261	234	243		:: Miss.	: 98	91	92	92
Ill.	•	372	323	323	334	::Ark.	: 76	63	67	59
Mich.	:	396	389	425	430	::Okla.	: 124	107	119	107
Wis.	•	1,308	1,467	1,429	1,524	:: Texas	: 242	247	219	240
Minn.	•	804	966	887	990	:: Mont.	: 35	32	34	32
Iowa	•	461	475	446	487	:: Idahc	: 106	127	125	121
Mo.	:	275	267	250	270	::Wyo.	: 15.3	13.8	13.5	13.4
N.Dak.	•	119	136	127	145	::Colo.	: 67	68	63	62
S.Dak.	:	96	109	103		::Utah	: 57	64	60	64
Nebr.	•	160	158	149			: 131	147	161	159
Kans.	:	174	155	160	_	::Oreg.	: 76	74	72	72
Md.	•	117	120	123		:: Calif.		647	659	667
Va.	•	142	149	151		::Other	:	,	- //	
W.Va.	•	54	47	46		:: States 1/	517	646	652	669
N.C.		126	123	135	129		.)-1	0.0	7/-	
S.C.	•	45	44	45			: 9,213	9,862	772 7	0.118
2101			17	7	+3		• /,),002	2 -۱۱ ور	.0,110
	- •				607 MM 600					9000 1000 GBD

^{1/} Monthly data for individual States not available.

CROP REPORTING BOARD

CITRUS FRUITS 1/

Crop and State	Average : 1950-59	000 boxes 27 1960	ndicated :	Average : 1950-59 :	ivalent tons	Indicated 1961
ORANGES: EARLY, MIDSEASON & NAVEL VARIETIES 3/	:					
Calif. Fla., All Temple	14,370 47,970 2,310	9,000 51,000 4,000	7,500 54,000 4,000	544,700 2,158,700 104,000	338,000 2,295,000 180,000	281,000 2,430,000 180,000
Other Texas Ariz.	45,660 1,142 472	47,000 2,000 440	50,000 1,600 600	2,054,700 51,410 17,900	2,115,000 90,000 16,500	2,250,000 72,000 22,500
La. Total Above	167	275	255_	7,516	12,400	11,500
Varieties VALENCIA:	64,122	62,715_	_ 63,955_	2,780,226	2,751,900	2,817,000
Calif. Fla. Texas Ariz.	22,624 36,210 518 641	16,000 35,700 1,500 720	15,000 42,000 600 900	858,900 1,629,500 23,280 24,250	600,000 1,606,000 67,500 27,000	562,000 1,890,000 27,000 33,800
Total Valencia	59,992	53,920	58,500	2,535,930	2,300,500	
ALL ORANGES: Calif.	36,994	25,000	22,500	1,403,600	938,000	843,000
Fla. Texas Ariz.	84,180 1,660 1,113	86,700 3,500 1,160	96,000 2,200 1,500	3,788,200 74,690 42,150	3,901,000 157,500 43,500	4,320,000 99,000 56,300
U. S., All	167			7,516	12,400	11,500
Oranges GRAPEFRUIT:	1 124,114	_116,635	122,455	_5,316,156_	5,052,400	
Fla., All Seedless Pink	35,100 19,250	31,600 19,200 7,300	33,000 21,000 7,000	1,404,000	1,264,000 768,000 292,000	1,320,000 840,000 280,000
White Other	15,850	11,900	14,000	634,000	476,000 496,000	560,000 480,000
Texas Ariz. Calif., All	2,970 2,585 2,482	6,800 2,260 2,640	2,500 2,400 2,700	118,800 83,230 82,240	272,000 72,300 86,600	100,000 76,800 88,500
Desert Valleys Other Areas	936	1,240 1,400	1,300	30,140 52,100	39,700 46,900	41,600 46,900
U. S., All Grapefruit	43,137	43,300	40,600	1,688,270	1,694,900	1,585,300
LEMONS: Calif.	14,917 4/735	13,600	15,000		517,000 20,500	570,000 57,000
U. S., Lemons	15,064	540 14,140	1,500	580,680	537,500	627,000
Fla. TANGELOS:	328	310	330_	13,120	12,400	13,200
Fla. TANGERINES:	329	500	900_	14,818	22,500	40,500
I/ The crop year be the following year	egins with the b	loom of the	year shown	194,350 and ends with	th completion	n of harvest
vested, or harvested donated to charity	ed but not utili • Estimates of	zed, on acc	ount of eco	onomic condition of the	ions, and que: Oranges-	antities California,

Navel and miscellaneous, 140,000 boxes (5,50 tons); California, Valencia, 50,000 boxes (1,875 tons); Grapefruit-California, Desert Valleys, 10,000 boxes (340 tons).

^{2/} Net content of box varies. Approximate averages are as follows: Oranges-California and Arizona, 75 lbs.; Florida and other States, 90 lbs.; Grapefruit-California Desert Valleys and Arizona, 64 lbs.; other California areas, 67 lbs.; Florida and Texas, 80 lbs.; Lemons-76 lbs.; Limes, 80 lbs.; Tangelos and Tangerines-90 lbs.

^{3/} Navel and Miscellaneous varieties in California and Arizona. Early and Midseason varieties in Florida and Texas. All varieties in Louisiana. For all States, except Florida, includes small quantities of tangerines.

^{4/} Short-time average.

POTATOES, Irish 1962 Crop

		Acreage		Yield pe	r harves	sted acre	e: Production
Seasonal	Harv	ested	- =	Average		Indi-	: Indi-
group and State	: Average:		harvest:	WASTAGE	1961 :	cated	
	: 1951-60:		1962	1951-60		1962	1951-60: :1962
	: 1,000	1,000	1,000				1,000 1,000 1,000
	acres	acres	acres	Cwt.	Cwt.	Cwt.	ewt. ewt. ewt.
WINTER:	•		1	- 1 -			
Fla.	: 13.3	9.7	7.4	149	135	160	1,990 1,310 1,184
Calif.	: 14.4	13.8	14.5	164	265	210	2,337 3,657 3,045
	: 27.7	23.5	21.9	156.8			4,327 4,967 4,229
	Acreage	pranted:		Yield	per prar	red acre	e: Production
r coetwa.			tions				
E. SPRING:	•						
Hastings	20.2	21.0	20.5	155	100		3,098 3,990 Apr.10
Other	5.1	3.5	2.5	155 106	190 146		3,098 3,990 Apr.10 535 510 "
Texas	1.2	1.0	1.1	60	150		58 150 "
	26.5		-24.1 -	1.39.5			-3,691 4,650 - 7
I, SPRING:		2-2-2		7.27.7			
N.Car.	•						
8N.E.Counties	13.9	13.5	11.9	126	152		1,735 2,046 May 10
OtherCounties	= -	3.8	3.4	76	115		599 437 "
S.Car.	9.0	6.0	4.5	82	85		748 510 "
Ga.	1.9	•5	•5	60	67		111 34 "
AlaBaldwin	19.0	15.5	11.5	106	88	~ ~ ~	1,930 1,364 "
-Other	9.1	9.0	7.0	58	100		500 900 "
Miss.	8.6	3.8	3.4	43	50		353 190 "
Ark.	10.1	5.2	4.8	52	63		508 328 "
La.	8.5	3.8	3.6	43	52		356 198 "
Okla.	4.2	2.0	1.9	51	59		206 118 "
Texas	9.4	6.0	5.9	53	69		480 414 "
Ariz.	6.1	10.6	9.0	237	233	Op. Co. Oo	1,442 2,472 "
Calif.	54.1	_58.5_	48.0	277	_325		14,866 19,012 "
Total	162.0	138.2	115.4	150.2	202.8		23,833 28,023 "
E. SUMMER:		5 0)	C.	0.0		503
Mo.	9.2	5.0	4.5	70	90		591 450 June 11
Kans.	3.8	3.0	2.7	55	79		100 500
Del.	8.1	10.0	9.2	176	225		1,492 2,200
Md. Va.=	3.4	3.1	2.4	111	135		378 418 "
Eastern Shore:	20.2	24.0	23.0	127	170		2,578 4,080 "
Norfolk	3.0	1.2	1.0	93	150		284 180 "
Other	6.7	4.3	4.0	65	68		436 292 "
N.Car.	10.5	7.0	6.7	70	113		703 792 "
Ga.	2.5	1.0	1.0	40	50		93 50 "
Ky.	15.3	9.8	9.0	62	65		931 637 "
Tenn.	14.2	9.0	8.0	65	83	Cop. COp. COp.	883 747 "
Texas	8.4	13.0	13.4	147	171		1,225 2,222 "
	9.9	9.1	8.0	267	345		2,641 3,140 "
Total	9.9 115.2	99.5	92.9	109.9	155.7		12,423 15,496 "

-State-	: Number of I	JANUA	RY_EGG_PROD		Total eggs	
and	hand during		Eggs per layers		during_Ja	
division		1962	<u> 1961 17 :</u>	1962 :	1961 1/	1962
	: Thousands	Thousands	Number	Number	Millions	Millions
Maine	3,982	3,704	1,910	2,015	76	75
N.H. Vt.	1,714	1,602	1,835	1,860	31	30
Mass.	2,999	700 2,676	1,919 1,829	7,907	14 55	51
R.I.	: 358	336	1,798	1,879	6	6
Conn.	: 3,095	3,041	1,838	1,848	57	56
N.Y. N.J.	9,043	8,714	1,649	1,807	149	157
Pa.	10,297 16,796	9,880 15,948	1,683	1,786	283	285
N.Atl.	48,998	46,601	1,669	- ī, 7 66 -	8 18	823
Ohio	: 11,762	11,731	1,699	- 1 ,804 -	200	212
Ind.	: 11,780	11,598	1.814	1,810	214	210
Ill. Mich.	11,639 6,632	10,804 6,443	1,680	1,674	196	181
Wis.	9,661	9,443	1,755 1,820	1,848	176	174
E.N.Cent.	51.474	50,007	1,752	1,792	902	896
Minn.	17 301 -	16,388	1,965	1,919	342	320
Iowa	: 23,332	22,851	1,891	1,876	441	429
Mo. N.Dak.	23,332 9,228 2,398 7,448 8,989 6,460	9,646	1,519 1,525	1,488	140 37	144
S.Dak.	7,448	7.858	1,786	1.835	133	144
Nebr.	8,989	8,980	1,764	1,720	159 105	154
Kans.	: 6,460	<u>6,034</u>	1,631	_ 1,556 _		94
W.N. Cent.	75,249	$-\frac{74}{202}$	1,803	- 1 , <u>776</u> -	1,357	1,320
Del. Md.	1,612	682 1 ևնև	1,479	T,507	2h	5/7 7.7
Va.	5,573	5,576	1,581	1,677	88	94
W.Va.	: 1,882	1,850	1,426	1,556	27	29
N.C. S.C.	10,253 4.098	10,870	1,612	1,662	165	181
Ga.	11.006	12.352	1,699	1,730	187	214
Fla.	5,130	5;584	1,798	_ 1;817 _		101
S.Atl.	: 40,264	42,995	1,649	1,703	664	732
Ky. Tenn.	5,230 5,096 6,662	4,958	1,240	- 1 ,252 - 1,314	109 91 79 39	62 63 116
Ala.	6,662	7,330	1,637	1,581	109	116
Miss.	: 6,550	7,210	1,383 1,451	1,581 1,395 1,457	91	101
Ark. Ia.	5,446 2,752	6,833 2,810	1,451	1,457	79	100 36
Okla.	3,109	3,086	1,414	1,407	44	43
Texas	• าวัาวดี	1 ,258 - 5,265 - 7,330 - 7,210 6,833 2,810 3,086 - 13,316 - 50,808 - 1,061 1,228 292 1,500	1,414 1,460	1,7735	192	191
S.Cent.	13,120 - 47,971 1,070 1,263 302 1,411	50,808	1,430	1,413 1,680 1,838 1,612 1,513 1,519	686	718
Mont.	1,070	1,061	1,699 1,848 1,472 1,540 1,507 1,752	1,680	18	18
Idaho Wyo.	1,203	1,220	1,040	1,612	23	23
Colo.	1,411	1,500	1,540	1,513	22	23
N.Mex.	: 730	775	1,507	1,519	11	12
Ariz. Utah	755 1,450	811 1,404	1,752 1,798	1,736 1,829	192 686 - 18 23 4 22 11 13 26	718 18 23 5 23 12 14 26 1
Nev.	76		1.476	1,472		1
Wash.	4,653 2,816	4,616	1,947	1,472	91	86
Oreg. Calif.	2,016	58,878	1,900 1,848	1,900	91 54 490	50 528
West.	41.054	- 43.224	1.834	- 1.818 -	 	785
U.S.	2,816 26,528 41,054 305,010	2,615 - 28,848 - 43,224 - 307,970	1,698	1,829 1,818 1,713	5.18 0	5,275
1/Revise	d. Revisions	of 1960-61 mo	nthly estima	ates will b	753 5,180 e published Mar	50 528 - 786 - 5,275 - 1962.

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

USDA, Econ. Research Service 9-7-61 Farm Econ. Div. ML Norman J. Wall

